

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,434	KUNZ, STEFAN	
	Examiner SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	Art Unit 1651	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY

(3) _____

(2) Gregory LaPointe.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 July 2009

Time: 9:30 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections made under 35 USC 103 in the Office action mailed on July 9, 2008.

Claims discussed:

1,2,4-8,10 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Those cited in the Office action mailed on July 9, 2008.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

SRM proposed amendments to the claims that would place the case in condition for allowance. GL gave approval for the proposed amendments.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sheridan MacAuley/
Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)